HONORABLE RONALD M. GEORGE Chief Justice of California

STATE OF THE JUDICIARY ADDRESS

TO A JOINT SESSION OF THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA JANUARY 14, 1997

GOOD AFTERNOON. I WANT TO BEGIN BY THANKING
SENATE PRESIDENT PRO TEM BILL LOCKYER AND ASSEMBLY
SPEAKER CRUZ BUSTAMANTE FOR THEIR KIND INVITATION TO
JOINTLY ADDRESS BOTH HOUSES OF THE LEGISLATURE AS YOU
BEGIN A NEW LEGISLATIVE SESSION AND SHEILA KUEHL FOR
HER KIND INTRODUCTION. I AM VERY PLEASED AND HONORED
TO SEE SO MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES PRESENT FROM THE
SUPREME COURT AND THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL, AS WELL AS
MEMBERS OF THE BAR, UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF TOM
STOLPMAN, LILY KIMURA, AND TONY VITTAL, AND OTHER
SPECIAL GUESTS.

NEW CHALLENGES FACING THE JUSTICE SYSTEM

AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF CALIFORNIA AND LEADER OF THE
JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT, I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE
THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO YOU TODAY ABOUT ITS
SUCCESSES, AND THE CHALLENGES THAT CURRENTLY
CONFRONT IT. FOR ME, PREPARING FOR THIS IMPORTANT
OCCASION HAS PROVIDED A TIME TO REFLECT UPON THE
IMPLICATIONS OF THE ACTIONS THAT WE IN GOVERNMENT
CONTEMPLATE TODAY AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF THOSE
ACTIONS FOR THE WORLD WE WILL LIVE IN TOMORROW.

DWIGHT EISENHOWER ONCE OBSERVED THAT "THE
HISTORY OF FREE MEN [AND WOMEN] IS NEVER REALLY
WRITTEN BY CHANCE BUT BY CHOICE — THEIR CHOICE." THERE
ARE MANY IMPORTANT CHOICES FOR US TO MAKE ABOUT THE
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN OUR STATE IN ORDER TO
ENSURE ITS CONTINUED INDEPENDENCE AND EFFECTIVENESS.

CALIFORNIA IS KNOWN FOR BEING IN THE FOREFRONT OF
CHANGES THAT CUT ACROSS ALL ASPECTS OF OUR SOCIETY.
AND CALIFORNIA'S COURT SYSTEM HAS SHARED THAT
DISTINCTION. OVER THE PAST TEN YEARS, CASE FILINGS IN OUR
SUPERIOR COURTS HAVE INCREASED BY 31 PERCENT. THE MIX

OF CASES HAS CHANGED, AND THE DISPARITY IN CASELOAD IN VARIOUS COUNTIES HAS INCREASED AS NEW LAWS HAVE COME ON THE BOOKS.

WHILE THE EVER INCREASING DIVERSITY OF THE
POPULATION WE SERVE REPRESENTS A GREAT STRENGTH AND
RESOURCE OF OUR SOCIETY, IT HAS REQUIRED COURTS TO DEAL
WITH THE MORE THAN 200 LANGUAGES SPOKEN IN OUR STATE —
AS WELL AS THE DIFFERENT UNDERSTANDINGS AND
EXPECTATIONS ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE THAT
INDIVIDUALS BRING FROM EVERY CORNER OF THE GLOBE.

THE EBB AND FLOW OF ECONOMIC TIDES HAVE BROUGHT MORE AND MORE UNREPRESENTED LITIGANTS INTO OUR COURTS, PARTICULARLY IN THE FAMILY COURTS, WHICH RESOLVE CRITICAL MATTERS SUCH AS MARITAL DISSOLUTION, CHILD SUPPORT, AND CHILD CUSTODY. I WAS ASTONISHED TO LEARN THAT IN AN AVERAGE OF 60% OF SUCH CASES, ONE PARTY DOES NOT HAVE A LAWYER, IN ANOTHER 30% NEITHER SIDE HAS A LAWYER, AND IN ONLY 10% OF SUCH CASES ARE BOTH SIDES REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL.

ACCOMPANYING THE MANY CHANGES AFFECTING OUR
SYSTEM IS THE HEIGHTENED PUBLICITY SURROUNDING COURT

CASES. THAT, IN TURN, HAS INCREASED THE PUBLIC'S SCRUTINY
OF THE COURTS, OFTEN UNACCOMPANIED BY MUCH-NEEDED
EDUCATION ABOUT THE IMPORTANT ROLE THAT AN
INDEPENDENT COURT SYSTEM PLAYS IN OUR SOCIETY.

THE JUDICIAL BRANCH HAS ACTIVELY RESPONDED TO THE NEW CHALLENGES INVOKED BY THESE AND OTHER CHANGES IN SOCIETY. IT HAS DONE SO IN THE FACE OF A FUNDAMENTAL FACTOR IN THE GOVERNMENTAL LANDSCAPE THAT HAS AFFECTED EVERY ASPECT OF COURT OPERATIONS — FEWER RESOURCES IN THE FACE OF INCREASED DEMANDS. YET I BELIEVE OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM HAS STILL BEEN ABLE TO BE VERY RESPONSIVE, IN PART DUE TO THE STRONG COOPERATION AND ASSISTANCE IT HAS RECEIVED FROM THE LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT.

KEEPING OUR COURTS ACCESSIBLE

THE UNDERLYING THEME THAT FLOWS THROUGH ALL OF
THE JUDICIAL BRANCH'S EFFORTS IS A SIMPLE ONE: TO KEEP
OUR COURTS ACCESSIBLE SO THEY CAN CONTINUE TO SERVE
THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA BY PROVIDING A FAIR AND
OBJECTIVE FORUM FOR THE ADJUDICATION OF DISPUTES. WITH

YOUR MUCH APPRECIATED HELP, OUR STEPS TO MEET THIS GOAL HAVE TAKEN NUMEROUS PATHS.

LAST YEAR, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU CREATED TWENTY-ONE
NEW TRIAL COURT JUDGESHIPS AND FIVE NEW APPELLATE
COURT JUDGESHIPS. THESE WERE THE FIRST NEW JUDICIAL
POSITIONS IN ALMOST TEN YEARS. THE BUDGET ALSO PROVIDED
FUNDS TO ESTABLISH A "THREE STRIKES RELIEF TEAM." THIS
DESIGNATED GROUP OF RETIRED JUDGES HAS BEEN SPECIALLY
TRAINED TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO COURTS THAT HAVE SEEN
INCREASING BACKLOGS DUE TO THE IMPACT OF THREE STRIKES
LEGISLATION. BEGINNING THIS MONTH, I WILL BE ASSIGNING 22
RELIEF TEAM JUDGES TO NINE COURTS FOR PERIODS LASTING
FROM FOUR WEEKS TO FOUR MONTHS.

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S COURT PROFILES COMMITTEE
EXTENSIVELY REVIEWS COURT OPERATIONS STATEWIDE TO
ASSESS THE NEED FOR NEW JUDGESHIPS. LAST YEAR, IT
IDENTIFIED A NEED FOR 61 POSITIONS, AND WE WERE PLEASED
THAT THE JUDGESHIPS THAT WERE CREATED TRACKED THE
PRIORITY SET BY THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS. AN
ACUTE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL TRIAL COURT JUDGESHIPS
REMAINS, AND THIS YEAR YOU WILL BE ASKED TO CONSIDER

CREATING THE REMAINING 40 OF THESE MOST NEEDED NEW POSITIONS.

WITH YOUR HELP LAST YEAR, THE BALLOT INFORMATION REGARDING APPELLATE COURT POSITIONS WAS REFORMED. AS PROMISED, THE JUDICIARY IS DEVELOPING METHODS TO PROVIDE USEFUL, EASILY AVAILABLE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO THE VOTERS.

LAST YEAR SAW ENACTMENT OF ASSEMBLY MEMBER BILL MORROW'S AB 195, A BILL DESIGNED TO EXPEDITE THE RECORD CORRECTION PROCESS IN CAPITAL APPEALS, WHICH ARE BROUGHT DIRECTLY TO THE SUPREME COURT. OUR COURT IS VERY CONCERNED WITH THE PROBLEM OF UNREPRESENTED DEFENDANTS ON DEATH ROW — 147 AT LAST COUNT — AND WE HOPE THAT IN THE COMING SESSION YOU WILL CAREFULLY CONSIDER MEASURES COMING BEFORE YOU THAT CAN HELP ALLEVIATE THIS PROBLEM.

THE CLEAR BENEFITS OF COURT COORDINATION

THE LEGISLATURE ALSO HAS PLAYED A CRITICAL ROLE IN

SETTING THE STAGE FOR WHAT HAS PROVED TO BE A HIGHLY

EFFECTIVE DEVELOPMENT IN COURT REORGANIZATION. THE

TRIAL COURT REALIGNMENT AND EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1991,
TOGETHER WITH AMENDMENTS AND REFINEMENTS TO THAT
ACT AND THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S ADOPTION OF EXTENSIVE
RULES, PROVIDED IMPETUS TO INSTITUTING COMPREHENSIVE
COORDINATION EFFORTS STATEWIDE. LOCAL COURTS HAVE
BEEN REQUIRED TO DETERMINE HOW BEST TO COORDINATE THE
USE OF ALL AVAILABLE ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL
RESOURCES ACROSS SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURT LINES.

SOME 18 COUNTIES ARE SUBSTANTIALLY FULLY
COORDINATED. FOR EXAMPLE, IN SACRAMENTO, RIVERSIDE,
SAN MATEO, MARIN, SAN BERNARDINO, NAPA, AND SOLANO, THE
SUPERIOR AND MUNICIPAL COURTS SHARE COURT
ADMINISTRATORS AND CLERKS' OFFICE EMPLOYEES. THEY USE
THE CROSS-ASSIGNMENTS OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS FROM EACH
LEVEL OF TRIAL COURT, UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A SINGLE
PRESIDING JUDGE, TO PERFORM THE ENTIRE RANGE OF
JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS, AS NEEDED.

THE BENEFITS ARE CLEAR. COORDINATION ELIMINATES
REDUNDANT CASE PROCESSING. IT REDUCES COSTS FOR
COURTS, LITIGANTS, AND TAXPAYERS ALIKE. COORDINATION
ENABLES COURTS TO BEST USE ALL RESOURCES, HELPING THEM

TO BETTER WEATHER BUDGET CUTS, AND AFFORDING GREATER FLEXIBILITY. AT THE SAME TIME, BECAUSE FASHIONING A COORDINATION PROGRAM IS A LOCAL ENTERPRISE, THE GOVERNING STATEWIDE STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES ALLOW ACCOMMODATION TO LOCAL CONDITIONS.

SENATOR LOCKYER'S SCA 4, WHICH HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE BALLOT FOR JUNE 1998, WILL, IF ENACTED, TAKE THE NEXT STEP AND PERMIT COURTS IN EACH COUNTY TO COMPLETELY UNIFY ON MAJORITY VOTES OF THE MUNICIPAL AND SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES.

OVERSEING THE COORDINATION PROCESS IS THE
JUDICIAL COUNCIL, WHICH IS THE CONSTITUTIONALLY
CREATED ENTITY CHARGED WITH OVERSIGHT OF THE JUDICIAL
BRANCH. IT HAS RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADOPTING RULES AND
PROCEDURES FOR THE COURTS. AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF
CALIFORNIA, I CHAIR THE COUNCIL, AND I AM JOINED BY 14
ADDITIONAL JUDGES, FOUR MEMBERS OF THE STATE BAR, AND
TWO LEGISLATORS. LAST YEAR SENATOR CHARLES CALDERON
AND ASSEMBLY MEMBER BILL MORROW PROVIDED VALUABLE
INSIGHT AND INFORMATION AS YOUR DESIGNATED MEMBERS ON

THE COUNCIL. COURT ADMINISTRATORS AND OTHERS PLAY A VITAL ROLE AS ADVISORY MEMBERS.

THE STAFF ARM OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL IS THE
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS. IT PROVIDES A WIDE
RANGE OF SUPPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL AND THE
COURTS. THE AOC IS VERY ABLY LED BY ITS TALENTED AND
DEDICATED DIRECTOR, BILL VICKREY, WHO ALONG WITH STAFF
FROM THE AOC'S OFFICE OF GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, LED BY
OUR VERY CAPABLE RAY LEBOV, ARE KNOWN TO MOST OF YOU
AS FREQUENT VISITORS TO YOUR OFFICES ON BEHALF OF THE
COURTS.

THE COUNCIL RELIES ON THE WORK OF SEVERAL

SPECIALIZED ADVISORY COMMITTEES, WHOSE MEMBERS ARE

DRAWN FROM THE RANKS OF THE JUDICIARY, THE BAR, AND THE

PUBLIC. OVER 300 VOLUNTEERS DEVOTE SUBSTANTIAL TIME

AND EXPERTISE TO STUDYING AND MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

ABOUT DIVERSE FACETS OF THE PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES

GOVERNING OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM.

REACHING OUT TO THE STATE'S COURTS

I HAVE HAD FIRST HAND EXPOSURE TO THE SUCCESS OF THE COORDINATION EFFORTS STATEWIDE, NOT ONLY FROM MY VANTAGE POINT AS CHAIR OF THE COUNCIL, BUT ALSO DUE TO A COMMITMENT I MADE SOON AFTER BECOMING CHIEF JUSTICE. I RESOLVED TO VISIT THE COURTS IN EVERY COUNTY — SOMETHING, I AM TOLD, THAT NO PRIOR CHIEF JUSTICE OF OUR STATE HAD SET OUT TO DO. THERE ARE THOSE WHO HAVE SUGGESTED THAT PERHAPS I SHOULD BE COMMITTED FOR HAVING MADE SUCH A COMMITMENT, BUT BY THE END OF 1996, I HAD JOURNEYED TO 20 OF THE 58 COUNTIES. I HOPE TO VISIT THE COURTS IN THE REMAINING 38 COUNTIES BY THE END OF THIS YEAR. IT HAS BEEN A TRULY INVIGORATING AND INSPIRING ENTERPRISE.

ALTHOUGH BEFORE BECOMING CHIEF JUSTICE I HAD

SERVED 24 YEARS AS A JUDGE AT ALL LEVELS, FROM MUNICIPAL

COURT ON UP, I EMBARKED ON THIS OUTREACH EFFORT IN

ORDER TO GAIN A MORE DIRECT SENSE OF THE ISSUES FACING

THE COURTS STATEWIDE — AND TO SHARE WITH THE COURTS

MY VISION FOR THE FUTURE OF OUR BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT.

AS PART OF THIS UNDERTAKING, I ALSO HAVE MET WITH

LEGISLATIVE LEADERSHIP AND THE GOVERNOR AND OTHERS IN

THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH, AS WELL AS DIFFERENT

CONSTITUENCIES IN THE BAR — PROSECUTORS AND CRIMINAL

DEFENSE, PLAINTIFFS BAR AND CIVIL DEFENSE,

REPRESENTATIVES OF COUNTY GOVERNMENT, AND THE PRESS.

AS A FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE CALIFORNIA JUDGES

ASSOCIATION, IT HAS ALSO BEEN IMPORTANT TO ME TO FORGE

A CLOSE WORKING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THAT IMPORTANT

ORGANIZATION AND THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL. MY OVERALL

GOAL IS TO FOSTER CONTINUING, ACTIVE, INFORMED DIALOGUE

ABOUT HOW WE CAN ENSURE THAT CALIFORNIA'S JUDICIAL

SYSTEM WILL BE PREPARED TO SERVE OUR CITIZENS INTO THE

NEXT CENTURY.

IN ADDITION TO MY EFFORTS, MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL WILL BE PERSONALLY VISITING DIFFERENT COURT LOCATIONS TO LEARN MORE ABOUT COURT ACTIVITIES ACROSS THE STATE. THEY WILL ALSO BE SENDING WRITTEN UPDATES ON THE COUNCIL'S EFFORTS TO THE COURTS IN THEIR AREA. WE HOPE TO ENHANCE AND ENCOURAGE THE FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION TO AND FROM THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL AND ALL INTERESTED SOURCES.

OTHER INITIATIVES TO IMPROVE OUR COURTS

THOSE ARE ONLY A FEW OF THE ACTIONS OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM HAS TAKEN TO ENSURE IT REMAINS RESPONSIVE AND CAPABLE OF ANTICIPATING AND MEETING THE CHALLENGES THAT FACE IT. WE HAVE MOVED AHEAD WITH MANY OTHER INITIATIVES AS WELL. THE COUNCIL'S OPERATIONS COVER SUBJECTS THAT RANGE FROM COURT INTERPRETERS TO CAMERAS IN THE COURTS. ITS EDUCATIONAL ARM, THE CENTER FOR JUDICIAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, ALONG WITH THE JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION INSTITUTE OF CALIFORNIA, OFFERS AN EVER BROADER LIST OF COURSES ON SUBSTANTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS. A STATEWIDE COURT COMMUNITY PLANNING WORKSHOP SCHEDULED FOR SEPTEMBER WILL BRING TOGETHER — FROM EACH COUNTY — TEAMS OF JUDGES. ADMINISTRATORS, BAR MEMBERS, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. THEY WILL LEARN ABOUT INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS AND HOW TO PLAN FOR THE FUTURE OF THEIR LOCAL COURTS. THE GOAL IS TO INCREASE COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN COURT PLANNING AND OPERATIONS.

IN COOPERATION WITH THE STATE BAR, THE COUNCIL

ALSO IS WORKING TO INCREASE PRO BONO REPRESENTATION BY

CALIFORNIA'S ATTORNEYS. I COULD GO ON AT GREATER

LENGTH — BUT MERCIFULLY WILL NOT — LISTING THE MANY

ACTIVITIES OF THE COUNCIL, THE AOC, AND OUR LOCAL

COURTS. OUR FOCUS IS TO CREATE STATEWIDE STANDARDS

THAT ACCOMMODATE LOCAL DIFFERENCES. THE IDEA IS NOT

TO MICRO-MANAGE THE LOCAL COURTS, BUT TO PROVIDE A

FRAMEWORK FOR IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC.

WITH THESE GOALS IN MIND, I WOULD LIKE TO TURN TO WHAT

LIES AHEAD.

HARRY TRUMAN ONCE STATED: "WE DON'T PROPOSE, LIKE SOME PEOPLE, TO MEET TODAY'S PROBLEMS BY SAYING THEY DON'T EXIST, AND TOMORROW'S PROBLEMS BY WISHING THAT TOMORROW WOULDN'T COME." THE JUDICIAL BRANCH HAS SHOWN THAT IT TOO IS COMMITTED TO DEALING WITH THE PROBLEMS OF TODAY, ANTICIPATING AND PLANNING FOR WHAT LIES AHEAD, AND LOOKING FORWARD TO TOMORROW — ALL WITH THE UNDERSTANDING AND ASSISTANCE OF OUR SISTER BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT.

TECHNOLOGY PLANS: PREPARING FOR THE FUTURE

ONE AREA THAT CLEARLY NEEDS IMPROVEMENT IS
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT. THE COURTS UTILIZE AN
INCOMPATIBLE, INSUFFICIENT MIX OF DATA PROCESSING AND
HAND-COLLECTED INFORMATION SYSTEMS. DISCORDANT AND
TOO OFTEN NON-EXISTENT CAPABILITIES MAKE IT DIFFICULT
TO COLLECT AND MANAGE THE INFORMATION THAT ALL THREE
BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT NEED IN ORDER TO ACCURATELY
PLAN FOR THE FUTURE OF THE COURTS — OR TO ASSESS THE
IMPACT OF CHANGES ONCE MADE.

AFTER COMPLETING A REVIEW OF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS
USED IN COURTS ACROSS THE COUNTRY AS WELL AS IN
CALIFORNIA, THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE
ON TECHNOLOGY HAS LAID OUT A PLAN TO BRING THE COURTS
INTO THE 20TH AND 21ST CENTURIES. LOCAL COUNTY PLANS HAVE
BEEN COMPLETED DURING THE PAST YEAR. DATA STANDARDS
WILL BE ADOPTED THIS SUMMER. IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT WE
SEIZE THE OPPORTUNITY NOW TO ADVANCE IN THIS AREA. IF
WE DO NOT, OUR COURTS WILL BE LOCKED IN A TOWER OF
BABEL, UNABLE TO EFFECTIVELY LEARN FROM OR
COMMUNICATE WITH ONE ANOTHER — OR TO PROVIDE THE
LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE BRANCHES AND THE PUBLIC WITH

COMPLETE AND UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION CONCERNING THE OPERATIONS OF OUR COURTS AND THEIR CASELOADS.

ENHANCING PUBLIC CONFIDENCE IN THE COURTS

ANOTHER CRITICAL AREA THAT NEEDS ATTENTION IS THE CONFIDENCE OF THE PUBLIC IN OUR COURTS. PUBLIC PERCEPTIONS ABOUT THE COURTS ARE COLORED NOT ONLY BY PRESS REPORTS ON SENSATIONAL CASES, BUT ALSO BY THE EXPERIENCES OF CITIZENS CALLED TO JURY SERVICE — THE ONLY DIRECT CONTACT THAT MOST CITIZENS HAVE WITH OUR COURT SYSTEM. IT HAS BECOME INCREASINGLY CLEAR THAT THE CALL TO JURY SERVICE FREQUENTLY IS IGNORED AND — WHEN ANSWERED — MAY BREED ONLY FRUSTRATION AND RESENTMENT. ADVERSE EXPERIENCES WITH THE JURY SYSTEM TOO OFTEN CAN PROLONG THE RESOLUTION OF CASES AND LEAD TO DISRESPECT FOR ALL PARTS OF OUR GOVERNMENT.

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S BLUE RIBBON COMMISSION ON
JURY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT IDENTIFIED A HOST OF WAYS TO
ENHANCE THE EXPERIENCE OF JURORS. THE REPORT REVEALED
THAT THE OVERALL YIELD OF JURORS REPORTING FOR SERVICE
RANGED FROM A LOW OF FIVE PERCENT IN ONE MAJOR

METROPOLITAN COUNTY (A FIGURE I UNDERSTAND HAS
SOMEWHAT IMPROVED MORE RECENTLY) TO OVER 60 PERCENT
IN SOME OF OUR MORE RURAL COUNTIES. OVER 1.6 MILLION
CITIZENS REPORT FOR JURY SERVICE EVERY YEAR.

SOME PROPOSED MEASURES WILL COST THE STATE

MONEY — INCREASING JUROR COMPENSATION, PAYING FOR

MILEAGE, REIMBURSING PARKING, MEAL, AND CHILD AND

DEPENDENT CARE EXPENSES. OTHERS, SUCH AS ENCOURAGING

LOCAL OFFICIALS TO NEGOTIATE WITH LOCAL

TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS TO OFFER FREE PUBLIC

TRANSPORTATION TO JURORS ALREADY HAVE BEEN TRIED WITH

SOME SUCCESS IN COUNTIES AS DISPARATE AS STANISLAUS AND

SAN DIEGO. THE COST IS MINIMAL TO THE TRANSPORTATION

COMPANY, WHILE THE BENEFIT TO THE SYSTEM IN GOOD WILL

IS HIGH.

ONE APPROACH SUCCESSFULLY USED IN SEVERAL VENUES
IS TO LIMIT SERVICE TO ONE DAY, ONE TRIAL. ONCE A JUROR
APPEARS, HE OR SHE NEEDS SERVE ONLY ON ONE CASE, AND IF
NOT SELECTED THAT DAY, IS DISMISSED FOR THE YEAR.
ANOTHER POSSIBLE INITIATIVE WOULD BE TO ENCOURAGE
PUBLIC AND PRIVATE EMPLOYERS TO CONTINUE TO PAY

SALARIES WHILE EMPLOYEES SERVE ON JURIES. SOME
EMPLOYERS ALREADY DO. AN INCREASING NUMBER DO NOT.
FOR PRIVATE EMPLOYERS, OFFERING REASONABLE TAX
CREDITS OR SIMILAR INDUCEMENTS — ACKNOWLEDGING THEIR
CONTRIBUTION TO THE PUBLIC BENEFIT — MAY PROVE
PERSUASIVE. WE MUST EXPLORE AND ENCOURAGE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE AND RELATED MEASURES THAT
WILL RESTORE THE PUBLIC'S WILLINGNESS TO PARTICIPATE
AND ITS CONFIDENCE IN OUR JURY SYSTEM. SENATE BILL 14,
INTRODUCED BY SENATOR CALDERON, AND THE GOVERNOR'S
BUDGET EACH CONTAIN A NUMBER OF THESE PROPOSALS.

PROVIDING FAIR AND ACCESSIBLE JUSTICE

AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE UNDERLYING THEME OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH'S EFFORTS IS PROVIDING A FAIR AND ACCESSIBLE SYSTEM OF JUSTICE. THIS PAST YEAR SAW THE RELEASE OF THE COUNCIL'S REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS TO ADDRESS PROBLEMS INVOLVING GENDER BIAS. THE COUNCIL'S SPECIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RACE AND ETHNIC BIAS WILL MAKE ITS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL THIS MONTH.

ON AN ONGOING BASIS, THE COUNCIL'S ADVISORY

COMMITTEE ON ACCESS AND FAIRNESS CONTINUES TO GATHER

INFORMATION AND FORMULATE APPROPRIATE RESPONSES TO

PROBLEMS INVOLVING ALL FORMS OF BIAS, INCLUDING

ADEQUATE ACCESS FOR THE DISABLED.

MEANINGFUL ACCESS ALSO IS IMPLICATED BY THE
INCREASE IN LITIGANTS WITHOUT COUNSEL WHO APPEAR EACH
DAY IN OUR COURTS. "FAMILY COURT 2000" IS A PROGRAM
DEVELOPED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S FAMILY AND JUVENILE
LAW ADVISORY COMMITTEE. IT INCLUDES PROPOSALS TO
SIMPLIFY PROCEDURES WHILE PROTECTING THE PARTIES'
RIGHTS, TO INCREASE ACCESS TO LEGAL SERVICES, AND TO
ENCOURAGE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES THROUGH
ALTERNATIVE MEANS SUCH AS MEDIATION, NEGOTIATION, AND
ARBITRATION WHEN POSSIBLE. THE COMMITTEE WILL SUBMIT
RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL IN 1997.

ALREADY, VENTURA COUNTY IS EXPERIMENTING WITH PROVIDING A USER-FRIENDLY INFORMATION KIOSK AND EASY TO UNDERSTAND FORMS TO ASSIST LITIGANTS IN FAMILY COURT.

ON ANOTHER ASPECT OF FAMILY LAW: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE.

JUST A FEW DAYS AGO, THE COUNCIL HELD THE SECOND

ANNUAL REUNION OF ITS ORIGINAL STATE CONFERENCE ON

FAMILY VIOLENCE AND THE COURTS. THIS CONFERENCE

BROUGHT TOGETHER — AND CONTINUES TO UNITE —

REPRESENTATIVES FROM DISTRICT ATTORNEYS' OFFICES,

BATTERED WOMEN GROUPS, PROBATION DEPARTMENTS, SOCIAL

WORKERS, POLICE OFFICERS, AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS IN

THE FIELD TO WORK WITH COURTS TO FORMULATE

COORDINATED PROGRAMS TO PREVENT FAMILY VIOLENCE AND

TO ADDRESS IT COMPREHENSIVELY WHEN IT DOES OCCUR.

SIMILARLY, COURT-APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATE — OR

CASA — PROGRAMS PROVIDE A MODEL OF HOW NEW

APPROACHES CAN REAP IMPORTANT BENEFITS. CASA PROJECTS

PROVIDE COURT-APPOINTED LAY COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERS

WHO ASSIST CHILDREN AND THE COURTS DURING THE LONG

AND OFTEN CONFUSING DEPENDENCY PROCESS.

THE TROUBLING PROBLEM OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY
ALSO IS BEING REVIEWED. THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL'S FAMILY
AND JUVENILE LAW ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS EXPLORING

ACCESS TO POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE DISPOSITIONS, AND PROVIDING APPROPRIATE CARE, TREATMENT, AND GUIDANCE CONSISTENT WITH HOLDING YOUNGSTERS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR BEHAVIOR AND PROTECTING THE PUBLIC.

THE ACTIONS OUR JUDICIAL BRANCH HAS TAKEN AND WILL CONTINUE TO PURSUE ARE INTENDED TO CONTINUE THE TRADITION OF A RESPONSIVE, FAIR, AND ACCESSIBLE SYSTEM OF JUSTICE FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA. WE ARE HEEDING LORD MACAULAY'S ADMONITION: "REFORM, THAT YOU MAY PRESERVE."

YOU HAVE HEARD JUST A FEW OF THE MANY INITIATIVES

THAT CAN PRODUCE MAJOR EFFECTS ON THE FUTURE

OPERATION OF THE COURTS. ALTHOUGH THE JUDICIAL BRANCH
IS FOCUSING ON SEVERAL INDIVIDUAL AREAS OF REFORM, THE

ONE REFORM NECESSARY FOR SUCCESS IN PRESERVING OUR
FUNDAMENTAL SYSTEM OF JUSTICE IS ENSURING A STABLE,

ADEQUATE SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR THE COURTS.

HISTORICALLY, FUNDING FOR CALIFORNIA'S COURT
SYSTEM DEVELOPED ON A PIECEMEAL BASIS. BEFORE 1988, THE

STATE FUNDED ONLY BASIC BENEFITS FOR TRIAL COURT
JUDGES, AND A FEW SELECTED PROGRAMS. STARTING WITH THE
BROWN-PRESLEY TRIAL COURT FUNDING ACT OF 1988, THE
STATE COMMITTED TO INCREASED FUNDING THROUGH BLOCK
GRANTS BASED UPON THE NUMBER OF JUDICIAL POSITIONS IN
EACH COUNTY.

THE TRIAL COURT REALIGNMENT AND EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1991 PROVIDED INCREASED STATE FUNDING, WITH A GOAL OF AN INCREASE OF FIVE PERCENT EACH YEAR TO 70 PERCENT BY 1995–96. THAT PERCENTAGE HAS YET TO BE MET. LAST FISCAL YEAR SAW THE STATE PROVIDING ONLY APPROXIMATELY 35 PERCENT OF COURT FUNDING — AND THIS YEAR SAW THE DRAMATIC DECLINE IN THE STATE GENERAL FUND CONTRIBUTION REACH 65.5 PERCENT, PLUMMETING FROM \$507 MILLION IN FISCAL YEAR 1990–1991 TO \$175 MILLION TODAY.

IN 1992, THE TRIAL COURT BUDGET COMMISSION, UNDER
THE SUPERVISION OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL, WAS
ESTABLISHED TO OVERSEE THE TRIAL COURT BUDGET
DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND TO PREPARE AN ANNUAL STATE
COURT BUDGET. THE PROCESS HAS BEEN REFINED, AND A
FUNCTIONAL BUDGETING PROCESS WAS LAUNCHED IN 1994–95.

THROUGH THE WORK OF THE TRIAL COURT BUDGET
COMMISSION, THE COUNCIL FOR THE FIRST TIME COLLECTED
STATEWIDE DATA ON COSTS AND EXPENDITURES.

BUT THE EFFECTIVE USE OF THE COMMISSION'S WORK HAS BEEN STYMIED. OVER THE YEARS, THE BIFURCATED SYSTEM THAT DIVIDES RESPONSIBILITY FOR COURT FUNDING BETWEEN THE STATE AND THE COUNTIES HAS LED TO MORE AND MORE PROBLEMS, WITH THE COURTS SOMETIMES FALLING BETWEEN THE CRACKS. THE BUDGET PROCESS HAS BECOME A FULL-TIME BURDEN FOR THE COURTS, WHICH MUST DEAL WITH TWO SEPARATE, UNCOORDINATED SYSTEMS WITH NEITHER HAVING CLEAR FUNDING RESPONSIBILITY. PLANNING — BOTH LOCAL AND STATEWIDE — OF NECESSITY IS OFTEN PIECEMEAL AND TENTATIVE. THERE IS NO ONE MECHANISM TO HOLD COURTS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE STATEWIDE — AND NO FLEXIBILITY FOR COURTS TO ADDRESS URGENT NEEDS.

LONG-TERM FUNDING SOLUTION SOUGHT

THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IS PERHAPS THE MOST
FUNDAMENTAL SERVICE PROVIDED BY GOVERNMENT. I FIRMLY

BELIEVE THAT THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE CANNOT AND MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO VARY COUNTY TO COUNTY, DEPENDENT UPON THE ABILITY AND WILLINGNESS OF EACH COUNTY TO ADEQUATELY FUND ITS COURTS IN THE FACE OF THE OTHER GROWING DEMANDS UPON COUNTY GOVERNMENT.

DURING THE LAST LEGISLATIVE SESSION, A REMARKABLE CONSENSUS WAS ACHIEVED. TOGETHER, YOU — THE LEGISLATURE — THE GOVERNOR, THE COUNTIES, THE COURTS, LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND THE VARIOUS SEGMENTS OF THE BAR, HAMMERED OUT AN AGREEMENT NOT ONLY ON THE CONCEPT OF STATE FUNDING FOR THE TRIAL COURTS, BUT ALSO ON A PRECISE MECHANISM BY WHICH TO ACHIEVE IT.

AB 2553, INTRODUCED LAST YEAR, WOULD HAVE EMBODIED THIS SOLUTION. UNDER THIS PROPOSAL, THE STATE AGREED TO ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR FUNDING THE TRIAL COURTS — INCLUDING FUTURE GROWTH — AND CAPPED COUNTY PAYMENTS, REQUIRED COUNTIES TO CONTINUE FUNDING CERTAIN FUNCTIONS, AND PROVIDED FURTHER ASSISTANCE TO SMALL COUNTIES.

SOME NEW REVENUES WERE TO BE OBTAINED THROUGH
CIVIL FILING FEES THAT WERE ACCEPTABLE TO VARIOUS

COMPONENTS OF THE BAR. CITIES AND COUNTIES AGREED TO SPLIT THE GROWTH IN FINE REVENUES.

IN THE FINAL HOURS OF THE LEGISLATIVE SESSION, HOWEVER, THE BILL FAILED BECAUSE OF DISAGREEMENT OVER AN ISSUE RELATING TO MEET-AND-CONFER REQUIREMENTS FOR COURT EMPLOYEES. THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL, ALONG WITH MANY OTHERS IN THE JUDICIARY, THE COUNTIES, THE BAR, AND OUR SISTER BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT, HAS BEEN ACTIVELY SEEKING TO RESOLVE THIS PROBLEM IN A MANNER ACCEPTABLE TO ALL PARTIES INVOLVED. THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL IS WORKING ON A RULE OF COURT, WHICH WOULD BE ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL PURSUANT TO STATUTORY DIRECTION IN THE TRIAL COURT FUNDING BILL. WE FIRMLY BELIEVE THIS WOULD BE JUST AS ENFORCEABLE AS A STATUTORY PROVISION AND WOULD, WHILE PROTECTING THE FAIR AND REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS OF COURT EMPLOYEES, REASONABLY PRESERVE THE ABILITY OF THE COURTS TO MANAGE THEIR OWN AFFAIRS.

WE SHOULD NOT AND MUST NOT HALT THE MOMENTUM
TOWARD FASHIONING A MUCH-NEEDED, PERMANENT LONGTERM SOLUTION. WE MUST FOR ONCE AND FOR ALL PUT AN END

TO THE YEARLY CRISIS PLAGUING ALL 3 BRANCHES OF
GOVERNMENT CAUSED BY THE COURTS HAVING TO RUN TO YOU
FOR MORE MONEY AS THEY FACE THE IMMINENT CLOSING OF
THE COURTROOM DOORS.

CRISIS NEAR FOR SOME COURTS

A LETTER I RECEIVED LAST MONTH FROM THE ALPINE
SUPERIOR COURT ANTICIPATES THAT THIS MONTH WILL SEE "A
TOTAL SHUTDOWN OF THE COURTS IN OUR COUNTY UNTIL SUCH
TIME AS STATE FUNDING BECOMES AVAILABLE. WE HAVE NO
MONEY FOR JURY TRIALS, COURT REPORTERS, COURTHOUSE
AND COURTROOM SECURITY, [AND] INTERPRETERS...;
SHORTLY AFTER THE FIRST OF THE YEAR WE WILL HAVE NO
FUNDS WITH WHICH TO MEET PAYROLL."

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL ANTICIPATES THE COURTS IN AS MANY AS 8 COUNTIES POSSIBLY CLOSING THIS MONTH AND SENDING LAYOFF NOTICES TO EMPLOYEES. COURTS MAY CLOSE IN 8 MORE SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED COUNTIES IN FEBRUARY. BY MID-MARCH, THE SITUATION WILL BE CRITICAL, WITH THE COURTS IN ANOTHER 11 COUNTIES IN JEOPARDY, AND BY APRIL THE COURTS IN 24 ADDITIONAL COUNTIES — OR A POSSIBLE

TOTAL OF 51 OUT OF 58 COUNTIES — WILL BE IN A CRISIS SITUATION.

LAST YEAR SAW THE NEED FOR ENACTMENT OF A

SUPPLEMENTAL EMERGENCY APPROPRIATION FOR THE

COURTS — SB 99 — WHICH WAS USED TO BAIL OUT NOT ONLY

THE COURTS IN SMALLER COUNTIES BUT THOSE IN LARGE

COUNTIES AS WELL, INCLUDING LOS ANGELES. THE RENEWED

THREAT OF CLOSED COURTS MUST NOT BE TAKEN LIGHTLY. IT

IS A REAL AND IMMINENT THREAT TO THE FUNDAMENTAL

FUNCTIONING OF GOVERNMENT AND THUS TO THE WELL-BEING

OF THE PUBLIC WE SERVE. AND SUCH A HAND-TO-MOUTH

EXISTENCE IS NO WAY TO ADMINISTER JUSTICE IN OUR GREAT

STATE.

BILLS NOW PENDING IN BOTH HOUSES OF THE LEGISLATURE, INTRODUCED BY SENATOR LOCKYER, SENATOR JOHANNESSEN, AND ASSEMBLY MEMBER PRINGLE, WOULD REVIVE THE AGREEMENT REACHED LAST YEAR AND TRANSLATE

IT INTO REALITY. I PLEDGE, PERSONALLY AND ON BEHALF OF

MOVING AHEAD WITH STATE FUNDING

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL, TO CONTINUE TO WORK UNCEASINGLY
TO SEE STATE FUNDING IMPLEMENTED.

A JUSTICE SYSTEM THAT MUST FOCUS NOT ON WHAT IS
DESERVED AND REQUIRED BY THE PEOPLE WE SERVE, BUT
SIMPLY ON KEEPING ITS DOORS OPEN DAY-TO-DAY, CANNOT
EFFECTIVELY PERFORM ITS FUNCTIONS. AN INDEPENDENT
JUDICIAL SYSTEM IS ONE IN WHICH THE COURTS ARE ABLE TO
DECIDE THE CASES THAT COME BEFORE THEM, FREE OF
EXTERNAL INFLUENCES OR FEAR OF FISCAL OR POLITICAL
RETRIBUTION. AN INDEPENDENT JUDICIAL SYSTEM IS THE
CORNERSTONE OF OUR DEMOCRATIC SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT.
INSUFFICIENT, INCONSISTENT, AND UNCERTAIN FUNDING FOR
OUR COURTS THREATENS TO ERODE THAT FOUNDATION.

YOU POSSESS THE TOOLS TO STRENGTHEN THAT SYSTEM
AND TO ENSURE THE HIGHEST QUALITY ADMINISTRATION OF
JUSTICE FOR THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA. WE HAVE THE
LARGEST JUDICIARY IN THE WESTERN WORLD (ALMOST 1,600
JUDGES — ALMOST DOUBLE THE SIZE OF THE FEDERAL
JUDICIARY) AND I BELIEVE THE FINEST JUDICIARY ANYWHERE.
YET THE TOTAL SEGMENT OF STATE FUNDS DEDICATED TO
SUPPORTING THE COURTS THIS YEAR AMOUNTS TO LESS THAN

1% OF THE STATE BUDGET. THE STRUCTURAL REFORMS

NECESSARY TO PROVIDE RELIABLE STATE SUPPORT FOR THE

COURTS ARE BEFORE YOU. WE MUST NOT LET THIS

OPPORTUNITY PASS. WE MUST NOT FAIL IN OUR COLLECTIVE

RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THE STABILITY OF ONE OF OUR

THREE CO-EQUAL BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENT.

WORKING FOR THE FUTURE OF THE COURTS

I KNOW THAT TOGETHER WE CAN ENSURE THE

SUCCESSFUL OPERATION OF OUR COURT SYSTEM FAR INTO THE

FUTURE. ON BEHALF OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, I THANK YOU

FOR THE MANY ACTIONS YOU HAVE UNDERTAKEN TO ASSIST

OUR BRANCH IN FULFILLING OUR MANDATE TO SERVE THE

PUBLIC. I APPRECIATE THE TIME THAT MANY OF YOU HAVE

GIVEN ME AND OTHERS TO DISCUSS THE CONCERNS AND

ASPIRATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, AND THAT YOU HAVE

SPENT VISITING YOUR LOCAL COURTS AND MEETING WITH OUR

JUDGES. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE NOT YET PARTICIPATED

IN OUR DAY ON THE BENCH PROGRAM, I URGE YOU TO DO SO—

IT CAN BE A REAL EYE-OPENER.

I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU IN THE YEARS
AHEAD. I KNOW THAT TOGETHER WE CAN AND WILL CONTINUE
TO PRESERVE AND ENHANCE THE ABILITY OF OUR COURTS TO
RENDER ACCESSIBLE JUSTICE TO ALL.

I AM GRATEFUL FOR YOUR INVITATION TO SPEAK TO YOU TODAY. I HOPE YOU WILL BE ABLE TO JOIN ME AND MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL, THE BAR, AND THE AOC STAFF AT OUR ANNUAL RECEPTION FOR THE LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE BRANCHES WHICH WILL SHORTLY FOLLOW THIS EVENT, AT THE BASEMENT LEVEL OF THE CAPITOL ROTUNDA, AND OFFER MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE MANY ACTIVITIES IN OUR COURTS. LET ME CLOSE BY WISHING YOU ALL A PRODUCTIVE LEGISLATIVE SESSION AND A HAPPY, HEALTHY, AND CONGENIAL NEW YEAR.

* * * * * * * * * *